UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/575,636	04/13/2006	Chang-Yeop Hwang	97176	4649
	7590 10/09/200 ell Sanders, LLP	EXAMINER		
Welsh & Katz	,	AMELUNXEN, BARBARA J		
120 S RIVERSIDE PLAZA 22ND FLOOR CHICAGO, IL 60606			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3694	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/09/2008	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/575,636	HWANG, CHANG-YEOP			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Barbara J. Amelunxen	3694			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Ag     This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This     Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4)  Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)  The drawing(s) filed on 13 April 2006 is/are: a)  Applicant may not request that any objection to the or	vn from consideration. relection requirement. r. ⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to l	-			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/24/2006.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte			

Art Unit: 3694 Paper No. 20081002

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Status of Claims

1. Claims 1-19, of US Application 10/575,636, are being considered herein.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 3. Claim 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. The scope of Claims 1, 2, 18, and 19 is unclear as it recites "receiving product transaction information including ...." (In re Zletz, 13 USPQ2d 1320

(Fed.Cir.1989)). However, it is unknown, from "where" is the method/system "receiving product transaction information including ...." Clarification and corrections are required.

5. Claims 1(d) and18(d) cite the phrase "... a mobile terminal transmitted in connection to WAP is ...". However, this language (or terms) is unclear to one of ordinary skill. It may appear that critical terms are missing in the sentence.

Therefore, Claims 1(d) and 18(d) are being interpreted as follows: "approving the payment under the condition that a mobile terminal phone number transmitted in connection to the service provider server using WAP is identical to the mobile terminal number received in the electronic shopping mall server when he purchaser mobile terminal using WAP service accesses the service provider server with the use of the URL callback" (In re Zletz, 13 USPQ2d 1320 (Fed. Cir. 1989)). Clarification and corrections are required.

6. Claims 2(c) and 19(c) cite "... a mobile terminal transmitted in connection to WAP is ...". However, this language (or terms) is unclear to one of ordinary skill. WAP is a protocol. You can't access a protocol. It would be like accessing Windows XP. Windows XP enables you to access the internet and URL, using Internet Explorer, Netscape. Therefore, purchaser mobile terminal can use WAP to access a server at some URL address, using the URL address sent "back" to the mobile terminal from some other internet connected server or from the "callback" URL (In re Zletz, 13 USPQ2d 1320 (Fed. Cir. 1989)). Clarifications and corrections are required.

Art Unit: 3694 Paper No. 20081002

- 7. Therefore, Claims 3-17 are also rejected as they depend on Claims 1 and 2.
- 8. Claims 18 and 19 are system claims directed to an apparatus, i.e. a computer, and are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. They are **hybrid claims**, as they are directed to method steps. It has been held that a claim that recites both an apparatus and a method steps for using said apparatus is indefinite under section 112, paragraph 2, as such a claim is not sufficiently precise to provide competitors with an accurate determination of the 'metes and bounds' of protection involved (*IPXL Holdings LLC v. Amazon.com Inc.*, 77 USPQ2d 1140 (CA FC 2005); *Ex parte Lyell*, 17 USPQ2d 1548 (B.P.A.I. 1990)). In addition, a single claim which purports to be both a product or machine and a method steps is also ambiguous and is properly rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the invention (*Ex Parte Lyell*, 17 USPQ2d 1548 (B.P.A.I. 1990)).

Art Unit: 3694 Paper No. 20081002

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

### 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

- 10. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.
- 11. Claims 1 and 2 recite "A method for approving electronic payment in an electronic shopping mall with the use of..." and is directed to purely mental steps. In order for a method to be considered a "process" under § 101, a claimed process must either (1) be tied to another statutory class (such as a particular apparatus) or (2) transform underlying subject matter (such as an article or materials) (*Diamond v. Diehr*, 450 U.S. 175, 184 (1981); *Parker v. Flook*, 437 U.S. 584 n.9 (1978); *Gottschalk v. Benson*, 409 U.S. 63, 70 (1972); *Cochrane v. Deener*, 94 U.S. 780, 787-788 (1876)). If

Application/Control Number: 10/575,636

Paper No. 20081002

Page 6

Art Unit: 3694

neither of these requirements is met by the claims, the method is not a patent eligible

process under § 101 and is non-statutory subject matter, as is the case of Claims 1 and

2. Thus, to qualify as a statutory process, the claim should positively recite the other

statutory class (the thing or product) to which it is tied, for example, by identifying the

apparatus that accomplishes the method steps, or positively recite the subject matter

that is being transformed, for example, by identifying the material that is being changed

to a different state.

12. Claims 18 and 19 are directed to a "system". Specifically, Claims 18 and 19

recite a "product transaction information", an "payment certification", "SMS including

URL callback", and "payment". However, this is merely software, and it has been held

that software without a required computer-readable medium-storing the software that,

when executed, causes the computer to perform a particular process or method (MPEP

2106.01) is merely nonfunctional descriptive material and non-statutory under 35 U.S.C.

101.

13. Therefore, Claims 3-17 are also rejected, as they depend respectively on

Claims 1 and 2.

Art Unit: 3694 Paper No. 20081002

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

14. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

15. Claims 1-3 and 18-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by <u>Edwin Gonzalez</u> (US 2005/0075958, hereinafter referred to as "Gonzalez")

Regarding Claims 1 and 18: Gonzalez discloses:

(a) receiving product transaction information including product information and price information of a product to be purchased together with a mobile terminal number of the purchaser and an authentication code for identifying the purchaser from a purchaser

Art Unit: 3694

Paper No. 20081002

terminal that accesses the electronic shopping mall server (see <u>Gonzalez</u>: cellular phone **10**; **Figs. 1-3**; [¶¶ 0001, 0018, 0022-0024]);

- (b) requesting payment certification with transmitting payment certification information including the mobile terminal number and the purchaser-identifying authentication code to the mobile communication service provider server, and then waiting for a response (see <u>Gonzalez</u>: **Figs. 4-5**; [¶¶ 0001, 0004, 0019]);
- (c) transmitting SMS (Short Message Service) including URL callback for linking WAP access of the payment approving server to the purchaser mobile terminal through the mobile communication service provider server when the mobile communication service provider server transmits a certification success code as a result of inquiring the mobile communication subscriber database with the use of the payment certification information (see <u>Gonzalez</u>: Abstract; **Figs. 2-3**; [¶¶ 0018-0020]); and
- (d) approving the payment under the condition that a mobile terminal transmitted in connection to WAP is identical to the mobile terminal number received in the electronic shopping mall server when the purchaser mobile terminal accesses WAP with the use of the URL callback (see <u>Gonzalez</u>: Abstract; **Figs. 1, 2, 4**; [¶¶ 0008, 0017, 0020, 0023-0024]).

Regarding Claims 2 and 19: Gonzalez discloses:

(a) receiving product transaction information including product information and price information of a product to be purchased together with a mobile terminal number of the

Application/Control Number: 10/575,636

Art Unit: 3694 Paper No. 20081002

purchaser from a purchaser terminal that accesses the electronic shopping mall server (see Gonzalez: cellular phone **10**; **Figs. 1-3**; [¶¶ 0001, 0018, 0022-0024]);

Page 9

- (b) transmitting SMS including URL callback for linking WAP access of the payment approving server to the purchaser mobile terminal through the mobile communication service provider server (see Gonzalez: Abstract; Figs. 2-3; [¶¶ 0018-0020, 0022]);
- (c) receiving a purchaser-identifying authentication code from the purchaser mobile terminal under the condition that a mobile terminal number transmitted in connection to WAP is identical to the mobile terminal number received in the electronic shopping mall server when the purchaser mobile terminal accesses WAP with the use of the URL callback (see <u>Gonzalez</u>: Abstract; **Figs. 1, 2, 4**; [¶¶ 0008, 0017, 0018, 0020, 0023-0024]);
- (d) requesting payment certification with transmitting payment certification information including the mobile terminal number and the purchaser-identifying authentication code to the mobile communication service provider server, and then waiting for a response (see <u>Gonzalez</u>: **Figs. 4-5**; [¶¶ 0001, 0004, 0019]); and
- (e) approving the payment when the mobile communication service provider server transmits a certification success code as a result of inquiring the mobile communication subscriber database with the use of the payment certification information (see <u>Gonzalez</u>: Abstract; Claims 1, 4; **Figs. 1, 2, 4**; [¶¶ 0008, 0017, 0019-0024]).

Art Unit: 3694 Paper No. 20081002

Regarding Claim 3: <u>Gonzalez</u> discloses: The method for approving electronic payment according to claim 1

• wherein the purchaser-identifying authentication code is a resident registration number of the purchaser or a secret number registered to the mobile communication service provider server by the purchaser (see <u>Gonzalez</u>: server **12** creates JAD files that correspond to the aliases created by the user; **Figs. 4-6**; Claims 1, 4; [¶¶ 0019, 0024]).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 16. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 17. Claims 4-10 and 11-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Edwin Gonzalez (US 2005/0075958, hereinafter referred to as

Art Unit: 3694 Paper No. 20081002

"Gonzalez"), in view of Kim et al. (US 2005/0086164, hereinafter referred to as

"Kim").

Regarding Claims 4 and 11: <u>Gonzalez</u> discloses the previous claim.

Gonzalez does not specifically disclose: wherein, in the step (c)/(e), the certification success code is transmitted under the conditions that:

• as a result of inquiring the mobile communication subscriber database by the mobile communication service provider server, it is determined that the mobile terminal number is recorded in the mobile communication subscriber database and a mobile communication charge of the purchaser is not delayed.

However, <u>Kim</u> does disclose: wherein, in the step (c)/(e), the certification success code is transmitted under the conditions that (see Kim: Abstract):

• as a result of inquiring the mobile communication subscriber database by the mobile communication service provider server, it is determined that the mobile terminal number is recorded in the mobile communication subscriber database and a mobile communication charge of the purchaser is not delayed (see <u>Kim</u>: Abstract; mobile phone **100**; **Fig. 2**; [¶¶ 0002, 0004, 0022, 0024-0025).

It would have been obvious to modify <u>Gonzalez'</u> teachings with <u>Kim's</u> in order to: certify success in paying electronically using a mobile phone and where the mobile communication charge of the purchaser is not delayed.

Regarding Claims 5 and 12: Gonzalez discloses the previous claim.

Gonzalez does not specifically disclose: wherein, in the step (c)/(e), the certification success code is transmitted under the conditions that:

as a result of inquiring the mobile communication subscriber database by the
mobile communication service provider server, it is determined that the mobile terminal
number is recorded in the mobile communication subscriber database, a mobile
communication charge of the purchaser is not delayed, and the purchaser mobile
terminal is not terminated or suspended.

However, <u>Kim</u> does disclose: wherein, in the step (c)/(e), the certification success code is transmitted under the conditions that:

• as a result of inquiring the mobile communication subscriber database by the mobile communication service provider server, it is determined that the mobile terminal number is recorded in the mobile communication subscriber database, a mobile communication charge of the purchaser is not delayed, and the purchaser mobile terminal is not terminated or suspended (see <u>Kim</u>: Abstract; mobile phone **100**; **Fig. 2**; [¶¶ 0006, 0022, 0025-0028, 0038, 0041, 0045, 0048-0049, 0051-0052]).

It would have been obvious to modify <u>Gonzalez'</u> teachings with <u>Kim's</u> in order to: inquire in the database of the mobile communication service provider if it has the mobile terminal number recorded in the database and to charge the purchase with the purchase made.

Regarding Claims 6 and 13: Gonzalez discloses the previous claim.

Gonzalez does not specifically disclose: wherein, in the step (c)/(e), the certification success code is transmitted under the conditions that:

as a result of inquiring the mobile communication subscriber database by the
mobile communication service provider server, it is determined that the mobile terminal
number is recorded in the mobile communication subscriber database, a mobile
communication charge of the purchaser is not delayed, the purchaser mobile terminal is
not terminated or suspended, and the purchaser-identifying authentication code input
from the purchaser terminal is identical to a purchaser-identifying authentication code
recorded in the mobile communication subscriber database.

However, <u>Kim</u> does disclose: wherein, in the step (c)/(e), the certification success code is transmitted under the conditions that:

• as a result of inquiring the mobile communication subscriber database by the mobile communication service provider server, it is determined that the mobile terminal number is recorded in the mobile communication subscriber database, a mobile communication charge of the purchaser is not delayed, the purchaser mobile terminal is not terminated or suspended, and the purchaser-identifying authentication code input from the purchaser terminal is identical to a purchaser-identifying authentication code recorded in the mobile communication subscriber database (see <a href="Kim">Kim</a>: Abstract; mobile phone **100**; **Fig. 2**; [¶¶ 0006, 0022, 0024-0028, 0038, 0041, 0045, 0048-0049, 0051-0052]).

Art Unit: 3694 Paper No. 20081002

It would have been obvious to modify <u>Gonzalez'</u> teachings with <u>Kim's</u> in order to inquire about the mobile communication subscriber database by the mobile communication service provider server, and it is determined that the mobile terminal number is recorded in the mobile communication subscriber database, a mobile communication charge of the purchaser is not delayed, and that the purchaser mobile terminal is not terminated or suspended, thus, the purchaser-identifying authentication code input from the purchaser terminal is identical to a purchaser-identifying authentication code recorded in the mobile communication subscriber database.

Regarding Claims 7 and 14: Gonzalez discloses the previous claim.

Gonzalez does not specifically disclose: wherein the mobile communication subscriber database stores a predetermined payment limit capable of being settled by the mobile terminal and a total payment accumulated until now,

- wherein, in the step (b)/(d), the price information is further transmitted to the mobile communication service provider server, and
- wherein, in the step (c)/(e), the certification success code is transmitted under the additional condition that as a result of inquiring the mobile communication subscriber database by the mobile communication service provider server, it is determined that a sum of the accumulated total payment and the price is not exceeding the payment limit.

However, <u>Kim</u> does specifically disclose: wherein the mobile communication subscriber database stores a predetermined payment limit capable of being settled by the mobile terminal and a total payment accumulated until now (see <u>Kim</u>: [¶ 0024]),

- wherein, in the step (b)/(d), the price information is further transmitted to the mobile communication service provider server (see <u>Kim</u>: Abstract; [¶ 0011]), and
- wherein, in the step (c)/(e), the certification success code is transmitted under the additional condition that as a result of inquiring the mobile communication subscriber database by the mobile communication service provider server, it is determined that a sum of the accumulated total payment and the price is not exceeding the payment limit (see <u>Kim</u>: Abstract; mobile phone **100**; **Figs. 1, 2**; [¶¶ 0004, 0006, 0008, 0011-0013, 0016-0017, 0021-0022, 0024-0028, 0038, 0041, 0043, 0045, 0048-0049, 0051-0052, 0059-0060]).

It would have been obvious to modify <u>Gonzalez'</u> teachings with <u>Kim's</u> in order to determine that a sum of the accumulated total payment and the price is not exceeding the payment limit.

Regarding Claims 8 and 15: Gonzalez discloses the previous claim.

Gonzalez does not specifically disclose: wherein, in the step (d)/(c), the access to WAP is conducted by means of manipulation of a call button provided on the purchaser mobile terminal.

However, <u>Kim</u> does specifically disclose: wherein, in the step (d), the access to WAP is conducted by means of manipulation of a call button provided on the purchaser mobile terminal (See <u>Kim</u>: [¶ 0025]).

It would have been obvious to modify <u>Gonzalez'</u> teachings with <u>Kim's</u> in order that the access to WAP is conducted by means of manipulation of a call button provided on the purchaser mobile terminal, such as a "\*89" number, which would be the call number to request the payment to the payment transaction server 300.

Regarding Claims 9 and 16: Gonzalez discloses the previous claim.

Gonzalez does not specifically disclose: wherein, in the step (a), a member store code of the electronic shopping mall server is further received from the purchaser terminal,

• wherein, after the step (d)/(e), the method further comprises the step of storing a mobile terminal number of the payment-approved mobile terminal, a payment-approved price, a payment-approved product information, a member store code of the electronic shopping mall server and a payment approval date in a payment approval database.

However, <u>Kim</u> does specifically disclose: wherein, in the step (a), a member store code of the electronic shopping mall server is further received from the purchaser terminal,

• wherein, after the step (d), the method further comprises the step of storing a mobile terminal number of the payment-approved mobile terminal, a payment-approved

Art Unit: 3694

Paper No. 20081002

price, a payment-approved product information, a member store code of the electronic

shopping mall server and a payment approval date in a payment approval database

(see Kim: member store code or code for member store or merchant store code 400;

Abstract; mobile phone **100**; **Figs. 1, 2, 4A & 4B**; [¶¶ 0022, 0025-0028, 0038-0039,

0041-0042, 0044-0048, 0050-0057, 0061]).

It would have been obvious to modify Gonzalez' teachings with Kim's in order to

store a mobile terminal number of the payment-approved mobile terminal, a payment-

approved price, a payment-approved product information, a member store code of the

electronic shopping mall server and a payment approval date in a payment approval

database.

Regarding Claims 10 and 17: Gonzalez discloses the previous claim.

Gonzalez does not specifically disclose: further comprising the steps of, after the step

(d)/(e):

transmitting a payment approval code to the electronic shopping mall server; and

storing a payment-approved price, a payment-approved product information and

a payment approval date in a selling information database by the electronic shopping

mall server under the condition that the payment approving code is received.

However, Kim does disclose:

Art Unit: 3694 Paper No. 20081002

• transmitting a payment approval code to the electronic shopping mall server (see Kim: Abstract; Figs. 1, 2, 4; [¶¶ 0002, 0004, 0011, 0017-0024]); and

• storing a payment-approved price, a payment-approved product information and

a payment approval date in a selling information database by the electronic shopping

mall server under the condition that the payment approving code is received (see Kim:

member store code or code for member store or merchant store code 400; Abstract;

mobile phone **100**; **Figs. 1, 2, 4A & 4B**; [¶¶ 0004, 0006, 0008, 0011-0013, 0016-0017,

0021-0022, 0024-0028, 0038-0039, 0041-0042, 0044-0048, 0050-0057, 0061]).

It would have been obvious to modify Gonzalez' teachings with Kim's in order to

transmit a payment approval code to the electronic shopping mall server; and store a

payment-approved price, a payment-approved product information and a payment

approval date in a selling information database by the electronic shopping mall server

under the condition that the payment approving code is received

#### Conclusion

- 18. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
  - <u>Tomoike, Hiroyuki</u> (US 20010029498) discloses: Payment acting service method and system.

Art Unit: 3694 Paper No. 20081002

• <u>Tomoike, Hiroyuki</u> (US 20020017561) discloses: Electronic payment system using accounting function in a mobile communication network.

- <u>Hui, Helen Shan-Shan et al.</u> (US 20020073027)L disclose: Mobile payment system.
- <u>Lansing, Paul et al.</u> (US 20020083009) disclose: System and method for completing on-line transactions and micro-transactions.
- Gerson, Howard (US 20020107007) discloses: Method for wireless telephony payment and an apparatus therefor.
- <u>Urabe, Akio</u> (US 20020128929) discloses: Electronic commerce system and electronic commerce method.
- <u>Lei, Jonathan L. et al.</u> (US 20030158891) disclose: Utilizing mobile devices as a communication proxy for non-connected terminals.
- <u>Horn, Michael et al.</u> (US 20040002917) disclose: Method and arrangement for electronically transferring an amount of money from a credit account memory.
- <u>Kim, Min-Suh</u> (US 20040068448) discloses: Electronic financial transaction system and method providing real-time authentication service through wire/wireless communication network.
- <u>Kuth, Rainer et al.</u> (US 20040083168) disclose: Payment system for cashless payment transactions.
  - <u>Labrou, Yannis et al.</u> (US 20050187873) disclose: Wireless wallet.

Art Unit: 3694 Paper No. 20081002

• <u>CORDERY R A et al.</u> (US 20050203854) disclose: Online transaction method using mobile phone, involves establishing link with entity using direct link data obtained from decoded bar codes, and authenticating initiated transactions using digital signature.

- <u>Das, Pradeep K. et al</u>. (US 20050203854) disclose: Method and system for using a camera cell phone in transactions .
- <u>Gray, R. O'Neal</u> (US 20050224575) discloses: System and method for facilitating the purchase of goods and services.
- <u>Hitalenko; Boris et al.</u> (US 20060106699) disclose: System and method for conducting secure commercial order transactions.
- <u>Kim; Min-Suh</u> (US 20070005492) discloses: Electronic settlement method by conditional trade.
- <u>Urabe; Akio</u> (US 20070299739) discloses: Electronic Commerce System and Electronic Commerce Method.
- <u>Fitzgerald; Shawn V. et al.</u> (US 20080048025) disclose: Method for Electronic Payment.
- Sehr; Richard P. (US 6565000) discloses: System and methods utilizing passport documents.
- <u>Sehr; Richard P.</u> (US 6609658) discloses: Travel system and methods utilizing multi-application traveler cards.
- <u>Sehr; Richard P.</u> (US 6910628) discloses: Travel system and methods utilizing multi-application airline passenger cards.

Art Unit: 3694 Paper No. 20081002

• <u>Gray; R. O'Neal et al.</u> (US 7275685) discloses: Method for electronic payment.

- <u>Urabe; Akio</u> (US 7308424) discloses: Electronic commerce system and electronic commerce method.
- <u>Tomoike; Hiroyuki</u> (US 7343344) discloses: Electronic payment system using accounting function in a mobile communication network.
- <u>Villaret; Jean-Marc et al</u>. (US 7428507) disclose: System and arrangement for processing payments for purchases through a payment server.
- 19. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Barbara Joan Amelunxen whose telephone number is (571) 270-5297. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday -- 07:30-17:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James P. Trammell can be reached on (571) 272-6712. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3694 Paper No. 20081002

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/B. J. A./ Examiner, Art Unit 3694

October 2, 2008

/Mary Cheung/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3694